

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

			CIN.
SERIAL NUMBER FLING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICAN	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/225,478 04/08/94	KOHN	D	
RAYMOND J LILLIE CARELLA, BYRNE, BAIN, (STEWART & OLSTEIN 6 BECKER FARM RD. ROSELAND NJ 07068	HM12/0415 GILFILLAN, CECCHI,	EXA	MINER
		CAMPELL, B	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1632	42
		DATE MAILED:	04/15/99

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

□ TI	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONS	E:		-
a) [is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection	
b) [expires three months from event however, will the sta	the date of the final rejection or as of the matutory period for the response expire later to	nailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no han six months from the date of the final rejection.	
	The date on which the res purposes of determining the	ponse, the petition, and the fee have been ne period of extension and the corresponding	FR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee, filed is the date of the response and also the date for the ig amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR atutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
X A	ppellant's Brief is due in acc	ordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).		
A to	pplicant's response to the fin place the application in con	al rejection, filed 3/24/99 has to dition for allowance:	been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed	
1.	The proposed amendment	s to the claim and /or specification will not b	e entered and the final rejection stands because:	
	There is no convincing presented.	ng showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier	
	b. They raise new issu	es that would require further consideration a	ind/or search. (See Note).	
	c. They raise the issue	of new matter. (See Note).		
	d. They are not deem appeal.	ed to place the application in better form for	r appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
	e. They present additi	onal claims without cancelling a correspond	ing number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE:			
2	Newly proposed or amen the non-allowable claims.	ded claims would be a	llowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling	
3.	Upon the filing an appeal, be as follows:	the proposed amendment - will be enter	red will not be entered and the status of the claims will	
	Claims allowed: Claims objected to:	6-15, 23-26		
	However;			
	Applicant's response	has overcome the following rejection(s):	3.103 rg	
4. ت	The affidavit, exhibit or re-	quest for reconsideration has been consider the main tained for	red but does not overcome the rejection because	
5. [The affidavit or exhibit will presented.	not be considered because applicant has n	ot shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier	00
Th	e proposed drawing correction	on 🔲 has 🔲 has not been approved b	by the examiner.	K
□ or	ther		PRINCE D. CAMPRILL	

BRUCE R. CAMPELL PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800